

**What this file contains:**

Table 6a: Monthly counts of Meticillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia by Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) from November 2015 to November 2016.

**What this data shows:**

A monthly count of MRSA bacteraemias by CCG for the last 13 month's values so that the figure for the same month in the previous year is also shown.

The total number of cases attributed per CCG (see explanation below).

The trend in the number of MRSA bacteraemias in a CCG over a series of months.

**What this data does not provide:**

A basis for reliable month on month comparisons in MRSA infection counts. Users of these data should exercise caution when comparing data between different months. Fluctuations in the data can occur for a number of reasons and high fluctuations may not necessarily indicate an outbreak - for instance, organisational changes, variations in the patient populations being treated and seasonality can also cause large variation in counts. Consideration of the numbers without the context cannot indicate the reasons or the significance of the fluctuations.

A basis for comparisons between CCGs. The counts of infections have not been adjusted to give a standardised rate considering factors such as CCG demographics or case mix.

**CCG attributing of cases**

All reported MRSA bacteraemia cases are attributed to a CCG regardless of whether they are assigned to an acute Trust or CCG via the Post Infection Review (PIR) process.

NHS Connecting for Health's [Demographics Batch Service \(DBS\)](#) and [Organisation Data Service \(ODS\)](#) are used to attribute MRSA bacteraemias. The CCG for each case is attributed, in the following order:

If patient's GP practice code is available (and is based in England), the patient case will be attributed to the CCG at which the patient's GP is listed

If the patient's GP practice code is unavailable but the patient is known to reside in England, the case is attributed to CCG based upon patient postcode.

If both patient GP practice code and patient postcode are unavailable or if patient has been identified as residing outside England, then the case is attributed to CCG based upon the postcode of the HQ of the acute Trust that reported the case.

It is possible for a single CCG to be the main commissioning body, or 'lead', to more than one acute Trust while another CCG may not be lead to any acute Trust.

**Data source**

Data were extracted from PHE's data capture system on 16th December 2016.

Changes and updates to the data after this date will be reflected in the next publication.

Data are reported for 209 CCGs. Data from the 26 Specialist Commissioning Hubs are presented in a single line at the bottom of the tables.

**Data Changes**

The nature of the data collection means that NHS acute Trusts are able to request updates to their data at any time.

Further information on the process necessary for an acute Trust to request such changes can be found here:

[https://hcaidcs.phe.org.uk/ContentManagement/LinksAndAnnouncements/HCAIDCS\\_System\\_Administration%20Unlock\\_Requests\\_UserGuide\\_V2.0.pdf](https://hcaidcs.phe.org.uk/ContentManagement/LinksAndAnnouncements/HCAIDCS_System_Administration%20Unlock_Requests_UserGuide_V2.0.pdf)

Further information on the Data Specific Revisions & corrections Policy can be found here:

[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/509316/HCAI\\_Mandatory\\_Surveillance\\_Data\\_Specific\\_Revisions\\_and\\_Corrections\\_Policy\\_March\\_2016.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/509316/HCAI_Mandatory_Surveillance_Data_Specific_Revisions_and_Corrections_Policy_March_2016.pdf)

This process means that there are frequently minor changes/additions to the most recent three data months in future publications. Affected data months are italicised in the data table to indicate where such revisions may occur. Any changes and updates to infection counts since the previous monthly publication outside of the italicised data periods are coloured red.

**Further information**

Further information on the underlying epidemiology and associated demographic information can be found here:

Quarterly Epidemiological Commentary:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/mrsa-mssa-and-e-coli-bacteraemia-and-c-difficile-infection-quarterly-epidemiological-commentary>

Annual Epidemiological Commentary:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/mrsa-mssa-and-e-coli-bacteraemia-and-c-difficile-infection-annual-epidemiological-commentary>

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>East Midlands</b>														
Southern Derbyshire	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	7
Nottingham City	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	5
West Leicestershire	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
East Leicestershire and Rutland	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4
Nene	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	4
North Derbyshire	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	4
South Lincolnshire	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Corby	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Hardwick	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Leicester City	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Nottingham North and East	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Rushcliffe	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bassetlaw	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Lincolnshire East	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Mansfield and Ashfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Newark & Sherwood	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Erewash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincolnshire West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nottingham West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South West Lincolnshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>East of England</b>														
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	11
East and North Hertfordshire	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	9
North East Essex	1	2	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
West Suffolk	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	9
Basildon and Brentwood	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	7
Mid Essex	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	7
Thurrock	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	6
West Norfolk	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	6
Milton Keynes	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	5
Herts Valleys	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Ipswich and East Suffolk	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
West Essex	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
Bedfordshire	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Castle Point and Rochford	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
South Norfolk	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Southend	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Great Yarmouth and Waveney	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Luton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Norwich	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
North Norfolk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>London</b>														
Ealing	1	0	2	2	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	14
Sutton	2	1	1	0	2	1	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	13
Brent	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	9
Hillingdon	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	9
Hounslow	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	9
Newham	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	9
Tower Hamlets	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	9
Camden	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	1	8
Harrow	1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	8
Redbridge	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
Southwark	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	8
Waltham Forest	1	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Barnet	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	7
West London	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	7
Enfield	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	6
Barking and Dagenham	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	5
Islington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	5
Bexley	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Croydon	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4
Lambeth	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	4
Lewisham	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
Merton	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Bromley	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Hammersmith and Fulham	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Havering	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Richmond	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Central London (Westminster)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Greenwich	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Haringey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Wandsworth	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
City and Hackney	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Kingston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	<b>862</b>
<b>North East</b>														
Durham Dales, Easington and Sedgefield	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	<b>9</b>
Newcastle Gateshead	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	<b>7</b>
Northumberland	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	<b>7</b>
Sunderland	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	<b>6</b>
Darlington	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	<b>5</b>
Hartlepool and Stockton-on-Tees	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	<b>5</b>
South Tees	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	<b>5</b>
North Durham	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	<b>3</b>
South Tyneside	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	<b>3</b>
North Tyneside	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>2</b>

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>North West</b>														
Bolton	0	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	3	1	3	1	16
Liverpool	0	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	10
Tameside and Glossop	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	10
East Lancashire	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	9
North Manchester	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	8
Eastern Cheshire	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	7
Cumbria	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
West Cheshire	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	6
Wirral	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
Oldham	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	5
West Lancashire	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	5
Blackpool	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Salford	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	4
Stockport	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Greater Preston	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
South Manchester	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
South Sefton	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
St Helens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
Trafford	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Vale Royal	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Central Manchester	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Chorley and South Ribble	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Halton	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
South Cheshire	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Southport and Formby	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Wigan Borough	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Bury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Fylde & Wyre	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Heywood, Middleton and Rochdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Blackburn with Darwen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knowsley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lancashire North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Warrington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>South East</b>														
Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley	0	3	2	2	1	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	15
Oxfordshire	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	9
East Surrey	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	7
Isle of Wight	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
Medway	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	6
Aylesbury Vale	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5
Coastal West Sussex	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	5
North East Hampshire and Farnham	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
South Kent Coast	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
West Hampshire	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
West Kent	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	4
Canterbury and Coastal	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
Hastings and Rother	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
Surrey Downs	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Thanet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
Ashford	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Fareham and Gosport	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Guildford and Waverley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
North West Surrey	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Portsmouth	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Slough	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
South Eastern Hampshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
South Reading	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Southampton	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Surrey Heath	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Windsor, Ascot and Maidenhead	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Wokingham	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Chiltern	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Crawley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
High Weald Lewes Havens	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Horsham and Mid Sussex	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Swale	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Bracknell and Ascot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brighton and Hove	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newbury and District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North & West Reading	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Hampshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>South West</b>														
Bristol	2	0	2	0	3	1	2	0	2	2	2	2	3	21
Gloucestershire	1	1	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	12
Kernow	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	10
North Somerset	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	9
Wiltshire	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	9
Somerset	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	8
Dorset	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	6
Northern, Eastern and Western Devon	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	5
Swindon	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
Bath and North East Somerset	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
South Devon and Torbay	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
South Gloucestershire	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
<b>West Midlands</b>														
Birmingham Crosscity	2	2	3	2	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	1	0	18
Sandwell and West Birmingham	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	6
Birmingham South and Central	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
South East Staffordshire and Seisdon Peninsula	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
Cannock Chase	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
East Staffordshire	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
South Worcestershire	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
Stoke on Trent	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Wyre Forest	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Coventry and Rugby	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dudley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
Shropshire	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
South Warwickshire	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Stafford and Surrounds	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Telford and Wrekin	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Walsall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Warwickshire North	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Herefordshire	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Redditch and Bromsgrove	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Solihull	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wolverhampton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
North Staffordshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Monthly counts of MRSA bacteraemia by CCG

November 2015 to November 2016

Publication date: 04/01/2017

CCG Name	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	Totals
<b>Totals</b>	65	68	83	56	69	58	82	67	57	68	57	67	65	862
<b>Yorkshire and Humber</b>														
Leeds West	1	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	2	0	0	1	11
Bradford Districts	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	9
Leeds South and East	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	9
Vale of York	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	8
Airedale, Wharfedale and Craven	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Rotherham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	4
Sheffield	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
Scarborough and Ryedale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
Wakefield	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
Barnsley	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Bradford City	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Calderdale	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Greater Huddersfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Leeds North	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
North Kirklees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Doncaster	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hull	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
North Lincolnshire	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
East Riding of Yorkshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrogate and Rural District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North East Lincolnshire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Specialist Commissioning</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Italicised* figures indicate data from months that are likely to be subject to minor change or update in the future monthly publications.

Data cells with red numbers indicate that these figures have been amended since the previous monthly publication.